

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/852,923 05/10/2001		Sang-Ho Kim	5000-1-196 5841			
33942	7590	01/20/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER		
CHA & RE		C	CURS, NATHAN M			
PARAMUS		2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•				2633		
			DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application I	No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			09/852,923		KIM ET AL.						
			Examiner		Art Unit						
		1	Nathan Curs	•	2633						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status											
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>10 Mav</i>	/ 2001.								
		2b)⊠ This ac		final							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Dispositi	Disposition of Claims										
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.										
· ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)🖂	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.										
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	election requ	uirement.							
Applicati	on Papers										
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.									
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 May 2001</u>	is/are: a)⊠	accepted o	or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the dra	awing(s) be h	neld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	n is required i	if the drawing(s) is obje	cted to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exar	miner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120											
 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 											
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P		5)		PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 09/852,923

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.+

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The disclosure of the "DC level value detection section 162" is confusing. It is described inconsistently within one embodiment, first as included within "each BICDR receiver 120" (page 6, lines 20-22), then being implied as included within the DEMUX 110 (page 7, lines 3-4), and finally as being described as separate from both the DEMUX 110 and BICDR receivers 120 (page 7, lines 5-6). Based on elements 160 and 162 in figure 2, the disclosure was examined assuming the "DC level value detection section" is intended to be separate from the DEMUX 110 and BICDR receivers.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Application/Control Number: 09/852,923

Art Unit: 2633

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Regarding claim 1-9, the applicant claims bit rate receivers coupled to the outputs of the demultiplexing means for converting the demultiplexed optical signals into electrical signals and for generating a bit rate error signal (claim1, lines 6-7); however in the specification, the applicant describes transducing the demultiplexed optical signals into electrical signals, recovering the clock and data, and then providing the clock and data signals to the bit rate receivers which generate a bit rate error signal (page 8, lines 4-9). The bit rate receivers disclosed in the specification that generate a bit rate error signal are described as accepting electrical signals, not optical signals.

Regarding claim 2, the applicant does not disclose a remote location or disclose a switch outputting signals to a remote location.

Regarding claim 10-17, the applicant discloses that the construction and operation of the transmitting section is essentially the same as the disclosure of the receiving section, and that the description and operation of the components within the transmitting section is omitted to avoided redundancy. The applicant does not disclose in the specification a bit rate receiver that converts incoming electrical signals into optical signals, as claimed in claim 10.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2633

- "Bit-rate transparent electronic data regeneration in repeaters for high speed lightwave communication systems", Mokhtari et al., Circuits and Systems, Proceedings of the 1999 IEEE International Symposium on, Vol. 2, 30 May-2 June 1999, p. 508-511 – Note a WDM system with bit rate independent 3R regeneration.
- US Patent No. Note a bit-rate monitoring system with a temperature look up table corresponding to bit rates for optical signals (col. 8, lines 36-43).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to N. Curs whose telephone number is (703) 305-0370. The examiner can normally be reached M-F (from 9 AM to 5 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached at (703) 305-4729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

JASON CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600